## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

CARLOS MASCARENAS,

Plaintiff,

v. CIV NO. 1:15-cv-00248-CG

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of the Social Security Administration,

Defendant.

## ORDER AWARDING ATTORNEY FEES PURSUANT TO THE EQUAL ACCESS TO JUSTICE ACT, 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)

THIS MATTER is before the Court upon Plaintiff Carlos Joseph Mascarenas'

Motion for Attorney Fees Pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act, with

Memorandum in Support, (Doc. 32), field September 19, 2016. Defendant, the

Commissioner of the Social Security Administration, had fourteen days to respond to
this Motion, but did not do so by October 4, 2016. D.N.M.LR-Civ. 7.4(a). Because the
time to respond to this Motion has passed, and "[t]he failure of a party to file and serve a
response in opposition to a [M]otion within the time prescribed for doing so constitutes
consent to grant the [M]otion," the Court will assume that Defendant consents to
Plaintiff's requested relief. D.N.M.LR-Civ. 7.1(b). Therefore, the Court being otherwise
fully advised in the premises, FINDS that the Motion is well-taken and should be
GRANTED.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney Fees

Pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act, with Memorandum in Support, (Doc. 32),

be **GRANTED**, and Plaintiff be awarded \$5,779.80 in attorney fees<sup>1</sup> pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d). See *Astrue v. Ratliff*, 560 U.S. 586, 591–93 (2010) (EAJA fees are paid to the prevailing party, not the attorney).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT if Plaintiff's counsel receives attorney fees under both the EAJA and 42 U.S.C. § 406(b) of the Social Security Act, Plaintiff's counsel shall refund the smaller award to Plaintiff pursuant to *Weakley v. Bowen*, 803 F.2d 575, 580 (10th Cir. 1986).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

THE HONORABLE CARMEN E. GARZA UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Costs are paid from the Judgment Fund, not from agency funds.